CALTRANS FISH PASSAGE DEISGN FORMS

- Form 1 Existing Data and Information Summary
- Form 2 Site Visit Summary
- Form 3 Guidance of Selection Fish Passage Design Option
- Form 4 Guidance on Methodology for Hydrologic Analysis
- Form 5 Guidance on Methodology for Hydraulic Analysis
- Form 6A Active Channel Design Option
- Form 6B Hydraulic Design Option
- Form 6C Stream Simulation Design Option
- Form 6D Hydraulic Baffle Design Option
- Form 6E Hydraulic Rock Weir Design Option

FORM 1 - EXISTING DATA AND INFORMATION SUMMARY

EXISTING DATA AND INFORMATION SUMMARY FORM 1									
Project Info	ormation					Computed:		Date:	
					Checked:	[Date:		
Stream Name:		County:				Route:	F	Postmile:	
	☐ New Culvert				Nev	v Bridge			
	Replacement Co	ulvert			Rep	placement Bridge			
Proposed Project Type	Retrofit Culvert				Retr	rofit Bridge			
3,000	☐ Proposed Culve	rt Length=	ft		Pro	posed Bridge Length=			ft
	Other				Oth	er			
		☐ All Species				Source:			
		Adult Anadromous Salmonids				Contact: Date:			
		Adult Non-Anadromous Salmonic	S						
Design Specie	s/Life Stage	☐ Juvenile Salmonids							
		☐ Native Non-Salmonids							
		☐ Non-Native Species							
Collect Exis	sting Data								
Included in Ca	Itrans Culvert Inventory						Yes		No
As-Built Drawii	ngs						Yes		No
Assessor's Pai	rcel Map						Yes		No
Previous Studi (i.e. FEMA Flo		my Corps of Engineering Studies, Other)							
Hydrology A	Analysis						Yes		No
Hydraulics A	Analysis						Yes		No
Floodplain Mapping							Yes		No
Other Studies Types Available: (i.e. Watershed Management Plans, Stream Restoration Plans, Other)							Yes		No
Existing Land Use Map							Yes		No
Proposed Land	d Use Map						Yes		No
Precipitation G	Gage Data						Yes		No
Stream Flow G	Sage Data						Yes		No

EXISTING DA	TA AND INFORMATION SUMMARY			F	ORM 1			
Topographic Mapping: (i.e. USGS Topographic Quadrangle, DEM Data,	LIDAR Data, Other)		Yes		No			
District Hydraulics Library Yes								
Obtain Access Permission								
Will Project study limits extend beyond Caltrans R	Will Project study limits extend beyond Caltrans R/W? ☐ Yes ☐ No							
If yes, obtain right-of-entry.								
Contact Report Index Attached	☐ Yes ☐ No							
Existing Information Index Attached	☐ Yes ☐ No							

CONTACT REPORT INDEX							
Project Information	1			Computed:	Date:		
				Checked:	Date:		
Stream Name:		County:		Route:	Postmile:		
Date of Contact		Person Contacted		Subject Disc	ussed		

EXISTING INFORMATION INDEX						
Project Information				Computed:		Date:
			•	Checked:		Date:
Stream Name:	County:			Route:		Postmile:
Report Date			Report Name	e and Source		

APPENDIX D FORM 2 - SITE VISIT SUMMARY

SITE VISIT SUMMARY FO							FORM 2	
Project Information						Computed:		Date:
						Checked:		Date:
Stream Name:		County:				Route:		Postmile:
Obtain Physical Chara	cteristics of Exi	sting Culvert						
Confined Spaces								
Is the culvert height 5 ft or gr	eater?				Yes 🗌 No			
Can you stand up in the culve	ert?				Yes No			
Can you see all the way throu	ugh the culvert?				Yes 🗌 No			
Can you feel a breeze throug	h the culvert?				Yes No			
If answer is "No" to any of the	e above questions, o	lo not enter the culv	ert witho	out confined	l spaces equipme	ent for surveying	g.	
Inlet Characteristics								
Inlet Type	☐ Projecting			Headwall		□ W	/ingwall	
шестуре	☐ Flared end se	ection		Segment c	onnection			
Inlet Condition	☐ Channel scou	ur 🗌 Ex	xcessive	deposition	☐ Debris	accumulation	☐ No	ne applicable
Inlet Apron	☐ Channel scoo	ur 🗌 E>	xcessive	deposition	☐ Debris	accumulation	☐ No	ne applicable
Skew Angle:			o	Upstream	Invert Elevation:		ft (N	IGVD 29 or NAVD 88)
Barrel Characteristics								
Diameter:			in	Fill height	above culvert:			ft
Height/Rise:			ft	Length:				ft
Width/Span:			ft	Number o	f barrels:			
Culvert Type	Arch				Вох		Circu	lar
Curvert Type	☐ Pipe-Arch				Elliptical			
Culvert Material	☐ HDPE				Steel Plate Pipe		☐ Conc	rete Pipe
	Spiral Rib / C	orrugated Metal Pip	e					
Barrel Condition	Corrosion				Debris accumula	tion	Struc	tural damage
Dailor Condition	Abrasion				Bedload accumu	lation	None	applicable

	SITE VISIT	T SUMN	IARY	FORM 2
Horizontal alignment breaks	:	ft	Vertical alignment breaks:	ft
Outlet Characteristics				
Outlet Type	Projecting		Headwall	Wingwall
- a	☐ Flared end section		Segment connection	
	Scour hole	Backwa	dered Debris accumulation	None applicable
Outlet Condition	_		Outlet elevation drop:	ft
	Perched		Outlet drop condition:	
			Scour hole depth:	ft
Outlet Apron	Channel scour	Excess	ive deposition	n None Applicable
Skew Angle:		0	Downstream Invert Elevation:	ft (NGVD 29 or NAVD 88)
Obtain Physical Chara	acteristics of Existing Bridg	je		
Elevation of high chord (top	of road):	ft	Elevation of low chord:	ft
Channel Lining	☐ No lining [Concre	te Rock	Other
Skew Angle:		o	Bridge width (length):	ft
Pier Characteristics (if app	olicable)			
Number of Piers:			Upstream cross-section starting station:	ft
Pier Width:		ft	Downstream cross-section starting station	: ft
Pier Centerline Spacing:		ft		
	☐ Square nose and tail		Semi-circular nose and tail	90° triangular nose and tail
Pier Shape	☐ Twin-cylinder piers with connecting diaphragm	COI	Twin-cylinder piers without necting diaphragm	Ten pile trestle bent
Pier Condition	Scour		Corrosion	Debris accumulation
Skew angle			o	
Channel Characteristi	CS			
	Hydraulic	Structure	Roughness Coefficients	
(Source: Caltrans H	ighway Design Manual Table 864	I.3A)	(Source: HEC-RAS	User's Manual)
Type of Structure	n- value		Type of Structure	n- value (normal)

	SITE VISIT SU	JMMARY	FORM 2
Linned Channels:		Corrugated Metal:	
Portland Cement Concrete	0.014	Subdrain	0.019
Air Blown Mortar (troweled)	0.012	Storm drain	0.024
Air Blown Mortar (untroweled)	0.016	Wood:	
Air Blown Mortar (roughened)	0.025	Stave	0.012
Asphalt Concrete	0.018	Laminated, treated	0.017
Sacked Concrete	0.025	Brickwork:	
Pavement and Gutters:		Glazed	0.013
Portland Cement Concrete	0.015	Lined with cement mortar	0.015
Asphault Concrete	0.016		
Depressed Medians:			
Earth (without growth)	0.040		
Earth (with growth)	0.050		
Gravel	0.055		

Type of Material in Excavation Section	Intermittent Flow (f/s)	Sustained Flow (f/s)
Fine Sand (Noncolloidal)	2.6	2.6
Sandy Loam (Noncolloidal)	2.6	2.6
Silt Loam (Noncolloidal)	3.0	3.0
Fine Loam	3.6	3.6
Volcanic Ash	3.9	3.6
Fine Gravel	3.9	3.6
Stiff Clay (Colloidal)	4.9	3.9
Graded Material (Noncolloidal)		
Loam to Gravel	6.6	4.9
Silt to Gravel	6.9	5.6
Gravel	7.5	5.9

	S	ITE VI	SIT SUMMARY						FORM 2
Coarse Gravel			7.9				6.6)	
Gravel to Cobbles (Under 15	50mm)		8.8				6.9)	
Gravel and Cobbles Over 20	0mm)		9.8				7.9)	
Flow Estimation	cfs	☐ Su	percritical flow			☐ Subcritical flo)W		
Channel Cross-Section Sche	ematic								
						Channel depth =			ft
Average Active Channel Width Take at least five channel width measurments to determine the active channel width. The active channel stage or ordinary high water level is the elevation delineating the hightest water level that has been maintained for a sufficient period of time to leave evidence on the landscape.						Average Active Ch	nannel V	Vidth =	ft
1) ft	2)	ft	3)	ft	4)	ft	5)		ft
Boundary Conditions	uno aroa mothod) can	only bo u	rod as a downstroam	Upstre	am	<u> </u>		slope	ft/ft
The normal depth option (slo boundary condition for an op what is the known starting wa	en-ended reach. Is n	ormal dep	oth appropriate? If no,	Downs	stream			slope	ft/ft
matic the women starting th	ator surrace sievation	•		Knowr Source		ater surface elevatior	n		ft
General Consideration	ns								
Identify Physical	☐ Right-of-way		☐ Utility of	conflict		☐ Vege	etation		
Restrictions				atural features					
Cross-Section Sketche	es Attached 🗌	res 🗌	No						
Site Photograph Docu	mentation Attach	ned 🗆 '	Yes No						
Channel / Overbank M	anning's n-value	Calcula	ation Attached	Yes [] No				
Field Notes Attached	☐ Yes ☐ No								

CROSS-SECTION SKETCH
Upstream face of structure:
Downstream face of structure:

		SITE P	PHOTOGRAPH DOC	UMENTA	ATION			
Project Inform	ation				Computed:			Date:
					Checked:			Date:
Stream Name:		County:			Route:			Postmile:
Crossing Type	Culvert		Bridge			☐ Other Typ	e/Comments	
Distance From:	X-sec. 1 to X-sec. 2:	ft X-sec. 2 to DS of structure	S face	ft US face of to X-Sec.	of structure 3	ft	X-sec. 3 to X-	-sec. 4 ft
Distance From:	Photo Sets 1 & 2 to DS face of structure	ft Photo Sets 3 8 DS face of stru		ft Photo Set US face of	ts 5 & 6 to of structure	ft	Photo Sets 7 US face of str	& 8 to ft
Length of Culvert/Bridge:		ft						
Photo set 7 Photo set 8	FLOW 4	Photo set 5 Photo set 6	CULVERT/ BRIDGE CULVERT/ BRIDGE	Photo set 3 Photo set 4	FLOW	N REACH	1	Photo set 2 Photo set 1 Page 6 of

	SITE PHOTOGRAPH DOCUMENTATION
Photo Descri	ptions:
Photo Set 1	
Photo Set 2	
Photo Set 3	
Photo Set 4	
Photo Set 5	
Photo Set 6	
Photo Set 7	
Photo Set 8	

		Manning's n Computat	ion - Overbank		
Project Information			Computed:	Date:	
			Checked:	Date:	
Stream Name:		County:	Route:	Postmile:	
Aerial Picture Attach	od.		I		
Photographs (#'s and					
	throughout the reach?				
Note: It i	iot, n-value snould be assigned for l	the AVERAGE condition of the reach			
Is roughness uniform	ly distributed along the cross sectio	n?			
Is a division between	the channel and floodplain necess	ary?			
Calculation of n-value $n = (nb + n1 + n2 + r)$					
where:	5 + 11 1 /111	Descr	ription of Range		
	e n value for surface	median size between 1" al	nd 2.5"=0.028 to 0.035, bet	ween 2.5" and 10"=0.030 to 0.050	
	ace irregularity factor	smooth = 0 up to severe a			
	s section variation factor ructions factor	gradual = 0 up to alternatii assumed to equal 0	ng irequently at 0.015		
	etation factor		e (average depth of flow is le	ess than 1/2 height of vegetation) at 0.100	
	sity/meandering factor	equals 0 for floodplains	,		
Base n value for	surface				
nb: Sand cha		dian size of bed material?	median size	nb	
			(in)		
i	nb =		0.008 0.012	0.012 0.017	
ı	ID =		0.012	0.017	
			0.020	0.022	
			0.024	0.023	
			0.031	0.025	
			0.039	0.026	
All other	channels:		median size	nb	
			(in)		
			.04 to .08	0.026 to 0.035	
			1 to 2.5	0.028 to 0.035	
			2.5 to 10 >10	0.030 to 0.050 0.040 to 0.070	
Notes:					
			nb :	<u> </u>	
Surface Irregular					
n1: Smooth	Compares to the smo	othest, flattest floodplain in a given bed ma	aterial.	if yes, n1 = 0	
Minor	Is the floodplain sligh visible on the floodpla	ly irregular in shape. A few rises and dips in.	or sloughs may be more	if yes, n1 = 0.001 - 0.005	
Moderate	Has more rises and o	ips. Sloughs and hummocks may occur.		if yes, n1 = 0.006 - 0.010	
Severe	Floodplain very irregu	lar in shape. Many rises and dips or sloug	hs are visible.	if yes, n1 = 0.011 - 0.020	
			n1 =	:	
Notes:					

Cross Section Variation Factor

Manning's n Computation - Overbank

n2 = ___ 0.000

Notes: Not applicable to floodplains.

Obstruc	ctions factor		
n3:	Negligible	Does the stream have a few scattered obstructions that occupy < 5% of the cross-sectional area?	if yes, n3 = 0.000 - 0.004
	Minor	Obstructions occupy < 15% of the cross-sectional area and the spacing between obstructions is such that the sphere of influence doesn't extend to other obstructions?	if yes, n3 = 0.005 - 0.015
	Appreciable	Obstructions occupy 15% - 50% of the cross-sectional area and the spacing between obstructions is small enough to be additive?	if yes, n3 = 0.020 - 0.030
		n3 =	:
Notes:			
Vegetat	tion factor		
n4:			
	Small	Does the channel have dense growth of flexible turf grass or weed growth where the flow is at least 2 times the height of the vegetation; tree seedlings of willows, cottonwoods, etc where the average depth of flow is at least three times the height of the vegetation?	if yes, n4 = 0.002 - 0.010

Does the channel where the average. depth of flow is equal to the height of the vegetation; 8 to 10 year old. willows, cottonwoods intergrown with weeds and brush; where the R =

Does the channel have turf grass where the average depth of flow is 1-2 times the height of the vegetation; moderately stemmy grass, weeds or tree seedlings growing where the flow

is 2-3 times the height of vegetation? Brushy, moderately dense vegetation, similar to 1-2

1.97 ft or bushy willows of 1 year old are in the channel bottom, where R =2.00 ft?

if yes, n4 = 0.025 - 0.050

Does the channel have turf grass growing where the average depth of flow < 1/2 the height Very large

year old willow trees in dormant season.

of the vegetation; bushy willows about 1 year old. with weeds intergrown on side slopes;

dense cattails in channel bottom; trees intergrown with weeds and brush? if yes, n4 = 0.050 - 0.100

Does the channel have dense bushy willow, mesquite, and salt cedar (full foliage), or heavy Extreme

stand of timber, few down trees, depth of reaching branches?

if yes, n4 = 0.100 - 0.200

if yes, n4 = 0.010 - 0.025

Notes:

Notes:

	Sinuosity	/meand	lering 1	factor
--	-----------	--------	----------	--------

Medium

Large

Not applicable to floodplains.

1.00

Manning's n - Overbank

n=

FORM 3 - GUIDANCE OF SELECTION FISH PASSAGE DESIGN OPTION

GUI	DANG	CE ON SELECTION	ON OF FISH PASSAGE DESIGN OP	TION	FORM 3
Project Informa	tion			Computed:	Date:
				Checked:	Date:
Stream Name:			County:	Route:	Postmile:
		All Species			
		Adult Anadromous Salr	nonids		
Design Species/		Adult Non-Anadromous	Salmonids		
Life Stage		Juvenile Salmonids			
		Native Non-Salmonids			
		Non-Native Species			
sufficiently large and culvert. Determinat hydraulic charagcte	d embed ion of th ristics w	dded deep enough into the e high and low fish passa	active Channel Design Option is a simplified design mede channel to allow the natural movement of bedload an ge design flows, water velocity, and water depth is not led to mimic the stream conditions upstream and down ur are required.	d formation of a stable required for this option	streambed inside the since with stream
Criteria for choosing	g option:				
☐ New and re	eplacem	ent culvert/bridge installa	tions		
☐ Passage re	equired t	for all species			
☐ Proposed of	culver/br	ridge length less than 100	feet		
☐ Channel sl	ope less	s than 3%			
	of a targ	et species and age class	c Design Option is a design process that matches the hof fish. This method targets distinct species of fish and		
Criteria for choosing	g option:				
☐ New and re	eplacem	ent culvert/bridge installa	tions (If retrofit installation, see Baffle or Rock Weir Des	sign Options)	
☐ Target spe	cies ide	ntified for passage			
Low to mod	derate c	hannel slopes (less than	3%)		
Active char	nnel des	sign or stream simulation	design options are not physically feasible		
Retrofit	Culver	t/Bridge Installation	S		
ro	ughnes	s elements as a measure	affle Design Option is a Hydrualic Design process that to reduce flow velocity within the culvert/bridge structurely, and water depth is required for this option.		
		Retrofit culvert/bridge in	nstallation		
	П	Little bedload material	movement		

GUI	IDANG	CE ON SELECTION OF FISH PASSAGE DESIGN OPTION FORM	3
		Existing culvert/bridge is structurally sound	
		Target species identified for passage	
		Low to moderate channel slopes	
		Active channel design or stream simulation design options are not physically feasible	
d	lepth, or	/eir Design Option - The Rock Weir Design Option is a Hydraulic Design process that is intended to increase flow add roughness elements as a measure to reduce flow velocity, or to increase the channel slope downstream of the idge. Determination of the high and low fish passage design flows, water velocity, and water depth is required for this option	ın.
		Retrofit culvert/bridge installations	
		Perched condition at outlet	
		Steep slope at inlet	
		Target species identified for passage	
		Active channel design or stream simulation design options are not physically feasible	
stream processes would in a natural of	within a c channel.	On Design Option - The Stream Simulation Design Option is a design process that is intended to mimic the natural culvert. Fish passage, sediment transport, flood and debris conveyance within the crossing are intended to function as they Determination of the high and low fish passage design flows, water velocity, and water depth is not required for this option characteristics within the culvert are designed to mimic the stream conditions upstream and downstream of the crossing.	
Criteria for choosin	g option:		
☐ New and i	replacem	nent culvert/bridge installations	
☐ Passage r	required	for all species	
☐ Culvert/br	idge leng	gth greater than 100 feet	
☐ Channel v	vidth sho	ould be less than 20 feet	
Minimum	culvert/b	ridge width no less than 6 feet	
☐ Culvert/br	idge slop	pe does not greatly exceed slope of natural channel, slopes of 6 % or less	
☐ Narrow st	ream val	lleys	
Selected Design	ın Onti	on:	
	•	OII.	
Basis for Selec	Juon:		
Seek Agency A	Approva	al: Yes No	

FORM 4 - GUIDANCE ON METHODOLOGY FOR HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS

GUIDANCE ON	MET	HODOLOGY FOR HYDROLOG	IC ANALYSIS 1, 2	FORM 4	
Project Information			Computed:	Date:	
			Checked:	Date:	
Stream Name:		County:	Route:	Postmile:	
Summary of Methods for Estim	nating	Peak Design Discharges for Use in F	lydraulic Analysis		
		Ungaged Streams			
Regional Regression ^{3, 4}					
Data Requirements	<u>Limita</u>	tions	Guidance		
Drainage areaMean annual precipitationAltitude index	co an	ak discharge value for flow under natural nditions unaffected by urban development d little or no regulation by lakes or reservoirs gaged channel	The most recently published USGS report for estimat peak discharges may be used. The user should exercise caution to ensure that the reports are used only for the conditions and locations for which they are recommended.		
		Rainfall-Runoff Models			
□ NRCS (TR 55) ⁵		Raillian Railon Wodels			
Data Requirements	Limita	<u>tions</u>	<u>Guidance</u>		
 24-hour Rainfall Rainfall distribution Runoff curve number Concentration time Drainage area 	• M • C (ta	mall or midsize catchment (<8 km²) laximum of 10 subwatersheds concentration time range from 0.1-10 hour bular hydrograph method limit <2 hour) tunoff is overland and channel flow implified channel routing legligible channel storage	TR-55 focuses on small urban and urbanizing watersheds.		
☐ HEC-1/HEC-HMS ^{6, 7} (SCS Dimnes	ionless	s, Snyder Unit, Clark Unit Hydrographs)			
Data Requirements	Limita	tions	<u>Guidance</u>		
 Watershed/subbasin parameters Precipitation depth, duration, frequency, and distribution Precipitation losses Unit hydrograph parameters Streamflow routing and diversion parameters 	■ S ro a	imulations are limited to a single storm event treamflow routing is performed by hydrologic buting methods and is therefore not ppropriate for unsteady state routing onditions.	Can be used for watersheds simple or complex, and deve		

¹ Caltrans Highway Design Manual, Chapter 810 Hydrology, Topic 819 Estimating Design Discharge

² FEMA Guidelines and Specifications, Appendix C, Section C.1

USGS Water-Resources Investigation 77-21 (Magnitude and Frequency of Floods in California)
 USGS Open-File Report 93-419 (Methods for Estimating Magnitude and Frequency of floods in the Southwestern United States)
 United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds Technical Release 55, June 1986. ftp://ftp.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/downloads/hydrology_hydraulics/tr55/tr55.pdf

⁶ HEC-1 User's Manual

⁷ HEC-HMS User's Manual

⁸ Bulletin 17B

GUIDANCE ON METHODOLOGY FOR HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS 1, 2 FORM 4						
	GAGED STREAMS					
☐ Statistical Methods ⁸						
Data Requirements	<u>Limitations</u>	<u>Guidance</u>				
 10 or more years of gaged flood records 	 Gage data is usually only available for midsized and large catchments Appropriate station and/or generalized skew coefficient relationship applied 	For watersheds with less than 50 years of record, compare with results of appropriate USGS regional regression equations. For watersheds with less than 25 years of record, compare with results of appropriate USGS regional regresssion equations and/or HEC-1/HEC-HMS model results.				
☐ Basin Transfer of Gage Data						
<u>Data Requirements</u>	<u>Limitations</u>	Guidance				
Discharge and area for gaged watershedArea for ungaged watershed	Similar hydrologic characteristicsChannel storage	Must obtain approval of transfer technique from hydraulics engineer prior to use.				
☐ Fish Passage Flows						
Streamflow hydrographFlow duration curve		Lower and upper fish passage flows define the range of flows a culvert should contain suitable conditions for fish passage.				
Selected Hydrologic Method:						
Basis for Selection:						

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Caltrans Highway Design Manual, Chapter 810 Hydrology, Topic 819 Estimating Design Discharge $^{\rm 2}$ FEMA Guidelines and Specifications, Appendix C, Section C.1

USGS Water-Resources Investigation 77-21 (Magnitude and Frequency of Floods in California)
 USGS Open-File Report 93-419 (Methods for Estimating Magnitude and Frequency of floods in the Southwestern United States)
 United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds Technical Release 55, June 1986. ftp://ftp.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/downloads/hydrology_hydraulics/tr55/tr55.pdf 6 HEC-1 User's Manual

⁷ HEC-HMS User's Manual

⁸ Bulletin 17B

GU	IDANCE ON N	METHODOLO	OGY FOR HY	/DROLOGIC	ANALYSIS	1, 2	FORM 4
Verify Reasonab	oleness and Rec	ommended Pe	ak Discharges				
Source	50% Annual Probability (2-Year Flood Event) (cfs)	10% Annual Probability (10-Year Flood Event) (cfs)	4% Annual Probability (25-Year Flood Event) (cfs)	2% Annual Probability (50-Year Flood Event) (cfs)	1% Annual Probability (100-Year Flood Event) (cfs)	High Fish Passage Design Flow (cfs)	Low Fish Passage Design Flow (cfs)
Effective Study Peak Discharges							
Recommended Peak Discharges							
Hydrologic Analysis Index Attached ☐ Yes ☐ No Hydrologic Analysis Calculations Attached ☐ Yes ☐ No							

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Caltrans Highway Design Manual, Chapter 810 Hydrology, Topic 819 Estimating Design Discharge $^{\rm 2}$ FEMA Guidelines and Specifications, Appendix C, Section C.1

USGS Water-Resources Investigation 77-21 (Magnitude and Frequency of Floods in California)
 USGS Open-File Report 93-419 (Methods for Estimating Magnitude and Frequency of floods in the Southwestern United States)
 United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds Technical Release 55, June 1986. ftp://ftp.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/downloads/hydrology_hydraulics/tr55/tr55.pdf 6 HEC-1 User's Manual

⁷ HEC-HMS User's Manual

⁸ Bulletin 17B

	НҮС	ROLOGIC ANAL	YSES INDEX				FORM 4
Project Information				Computed:		Date:	
-				Checked:		Date:	
Stream Name:		County:		Route:		Postm	ile:
						Exhib	it No.
Flooding Source/Stream Name	Hydrol	ogic Method/Model Used	Method/Model Analysis Date		Paper Copy		Electronic Copy

FORM 5 - GUIDANCE ON METHODOLOGY FOR HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS

GUIDANCE ON MET	HODOLOGY FOR HYDRAULIC AN	ALYSIS	FORM 5
Project Information		Computed:	Date:
		Checked:	Date:
Stream Name:	County:	Route:	Postmile:
Summary of Methods for Hydraulic Ana	lysis		
☐ FHWA Design Charts			
☐ HY8 - Culvert Analysis or other HDS-5 Base	ed Software		
☐ HEC-2 / HEC-RAS			
Fish Xing (Pre-design assessment or post-	design assessment when applicable)		
Is the hydraulic model used to create the lif yes, update and use this model for the hy	ne effective FIRM available?)	
Selected Method:			
Basis for Selection:			
Verify Reasonableness and Recommen	ded Flows		
Hydraulic Analyses Index Attached	Yes No		
Hydraulic Analysis Calculation Attached	d 🗌 Yes 🗌 No		

	HYD	RAULIC ANALY	SES INDEX				FORM 5
Project Information				Computed:		Date	
•				Checked:		Date	
Stream Name:		County:		Route:		Postr	mile:
						Exhib	it No.
Flooding Source/Stream Name	Hydrau	ılic Method/Model Used	Method/Model Analy	ysis Date	Paper Copy		Electronic Copy

APPENDIX D FORM 6A - ACTIVE CHANNEL DESIGN OPTION

	FISH PASSAC	SE: ACTIVE CHANN	EL DESIGN (OPTIC	DN	FORM 6A
Project Information				Compu	ıted:	Date:
				Checke	ed:	Date:
Stream Name:	Co	unty:		Route:		Postmile:
Hydrology Results -	Peak Discharge	Values				
50% Annual Probability (2-Year Flood Event)		cfs	10% Annual Prob (10-Year Flood E			cfs
2% Annual Probability (50-Year Flood Event)		cfs	1% Annual Proba (100-Year Flood E			cfs
Establish Culvert Se	etting and Dimens	sions				
Culvert Width - The mini	mum culvert width s	hall be equal to, or greater th	nan, 1.5 times the a	iverage	active channel width.	
Average Active Channel Width =	f	Average Active Channel V X 1.5 =	√idth	ft	Culvert Width =	ft
Culvert Length - Must be	e less than 100 feet.					
Culvert Length =			ft			
Culvert Embedment - Th more than 40% of the cu		ert shall be buried into the st let.	reambed not less	than 20%	% of the culvert height at th	e outlet and not
Upstream Embedment =				f	ft (≤ then 40% of culvert ris	se)
Downstream Embedment	=			f	ft (≥ 20% of culvert rise)	
Culvert Slope - The culv	ert shall be placed le	evel (0% slope).				
Upstream invert elevation		ft	Downstream inver	t elevatio	n =	ft
0	101 15	101				
Summarize Propose	ed Culvert Physic	al Characterstics				
Inlet Characteristics						
Inlet Type	☐ Pro	pjecting	Headwall		☐ Wingwall	
,	☐ Fla	ared end section	☐ Segment con	nection	☐ Skew Angl	e: °
Barrel Characteristics						
Diameter:		in	Fill height above c	ulvert:		ft
Height/Rise:		ft	Length:			ft

FISH PASSAGE: ACTIVE CHANNEL DESIGN OPTION FORM 6A					
Width/Span:	ft	Number of barrels:			
Culvert Type	☐ Arch	Вох	Circular		
Culvert Type	☐ Pipe-Arch	Elliptical			
Culvert Material	☐ HDPE	Steel Plate Pipe	Concrete Pipe		
ouvor material	Spiral Rib / Corrugated Metal Pipe	3			
Horizontal alignment breaks:	ft	Vertical alignment breaks:		ft	
Outlet Characteristics					
Outlet Type	☐ Projecting	Headwall	☐ Wingwall		
Outlet Type	☐ Flared end section	Segment connection	Skew Angle:	o	
Summarize Proposed Bridge	Physical Characterstics				
Bridge Physical Characteristics	i nysicai characterstics				
Elevation of high chord (top of road):	ft	Elevation of low chord:		ft	
	lo lining Concrete	Rock	Channel Lining		
Skew Angle:	0	Bridge width (length):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ft	
Pier Characteristics (if applicable)					
Number of Piers:	ft	Upstream cross-section starting station:			
Pier Width:	ft	Downstream cross-section starting station:			
Pier Centerline Spacing:	ft	t Skew angle:			
	Square nose and tail	Semi-circular nose and tail	90° triangular nose and tail	I	
Pier Shape	Twin-cylinder piers with connecting diaphragm	Twin-cylinder piers without connecting diaphragm	Ten pile trestle bent		
Maximum Allowable Inlet Water Sur	face Elevation				
Culvert					
A culvert is required to pass the 10-ye pressure flow in the culvert,	ar peak discharge without causing	Allowable WSEL:		ft	
And shall not be greater than 50% of t top of the culvert inlet for the 100-Yea	he culvert height or diameter above the r peak flood.	Allowable WSEL:		ft	

FISH PASSAGE: ACTIVE CHANNEL DESIGN OPTION									
Bridge									
A bridge is required to pass the vertical clearance between the lo surface elevation,									
While passing the 100-year peal bridge.	k or design discharge	or design discharge under low chord of Allowable WSEL:							
Establish Allowable Hydraulic Impacts									
Is the crossing located within a floodplain as designated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency or another responsible state or local agency? Yes No									
If yes, establish allowable hydra	ulic impacts and hydr	aulic design requiren	nents with the approp	riate agency. Attach	results.				
Will the project result in the incre	ease capacity of an ex	xisting crossing?	Yes No						
If yes, will it significantly increase	e downstream peak f	lows due to the reduc	ed upstream attenua	tion? Yes 1	No				
If yes, consult District Hydraulics	s. Further analysis m	ay be needed.							
Will the project result in a reduction in flow area for the 100-year peak discharge? Yes No									
If yes, establish the allowable inc	crease in upstream w	vater surface elevatio	n and establish how t	ar upstream the incr	eased water surface	may extend.			
Develop and run Hydraulic Models to compute water surface elevations, flow depths, and channel velocities for the 2-, 10-, 50-, and 100-year peak or design discharges reflecting existing and project conditions. Yes No									
Evaluate computed water surf	ace elevations, flow	ı depths, and chann	el velocities. 🗌 Ye	s 🗌 No					
Water surface elevation at inlet for the 10-year peak discharge: ft									
Does the water surface elevation exceed the allowable elevation? Yes No									
If yes, modify design to comply and rerun hydraulic analyses to verfiy.									
Maximum Culvert and Channel velocities at inlet and outlet transition for the peak or design discharge:									
Range of velocities for Inlet transition: ft/s to ft/s									
Range of velocities for Culvert portion: ft/s to ft/s									
Range of velocities for Outlet Transition: ft/s to ft/s									
Do the velocities exceed the permissible scour velocities?									
If yes, revise design to reduce ve	elocities and rerun hy	draulic analyses to v	erfiy, or design erosio	on protection.					
Comparison between existing and project future condition water surface elevations for the 10-Year and 100-Year peak flow:									
Cross-Section	10-Yr WSEL	10-Yr WSEL	Difference	100-Year WSEL	100-Year WSEL	Difference			
	Existing	Future	(ft)	Existing	Future	(ft)			

FISH PASSAGE: ACTIVE CHANNEL DESIGN OPTION							
	Conditions (ft)	Conditions (ft)		Conditions (ft)	Conditions (ft)		
1							
2							
3							
4							
If WSELs increase, does the increase exceed the maximum elevation? Yes No Maximum elevation: ft							
If yes, revise the design and rerun hydraulic analyses to verify.							
If WSELs decrease, does it appear that the attenuation of peak flow will significally change? Yes No							
If yes, evaluate to determine if downstream hydraulic impacts are significant and modify design as appropriate.							
Proposed Plan and Profile Drawing Attached Yes No							
Hydraulic Analysis Index Sheet Attached							

APPENDIX D FORM 6B - HYDRAULIC DESIGN OPTION

FISH PASSAGE: HYDRAULIC DESIGN OPTION FORM 6B								
Project Information			Computed:		Date:			
			Checked:		Date:			
Stream Name:	County:		Route:		Postmile:			
General Considerations								
Hydraulic controls (e.g. boulders weirs, log sills, etc.) in the channel upstream and/or downstream of a crossing can be used to provide a continuous low flow path through the crossing and stream reach. They can be used to facilitate fish passage by establishing the following desirable conditions: control depth and water velocity within the crossing, concentrate low flows, provide resting pools upstream and downstream of the crossing, and control erosion of the streambed and banks.								
Baffles or weirs shall not be used in	the design of new or replacement culv	erts in order to meet the	hydraulic desig	gn criteria.				
which they impede fish passage depe	onditions are generally considered to be ends upon the magnitude of the condition sign flow for fish passage: Super critical utlet.	on. Crossing designed	by the Hydraulio	Design Op	tion should be evaluated			
Hydrology Results - Peak Dis	scharge Values							
50% Annual Probability (2-Year Flood Event)	cfs	10% Annual Pro (10-Year Flood	,	cf				
2% Annual Probability (50-Year Flood Event)	cfs	1% Annual Probability (100-Year Flood Event)		cfs				
High Fish Passage Design Flow	cfs	Low Fish Passage Design Flow		cfs				
Estabilsh Proposed Culvert S	Settings and Dimensions							
Culvert Width - The minimum culvert width shall be 3 feet.								
Proposed Culvert Width: ft								
Culvert Embedment - Where physically possible, the bottom of the culvert shall be buried into the streambed a minimum of 20% of the height of the culvert below the elevation of the tailwater control point downstream of the culvert. The minimum embedment should be at least 1 foot. Where physical conditions preclude embedment, the hydraulic drop at the outlet of a culvert shall not exceed the limits specified.								
Upstream Embedment: ft (≥ 1 foot)								
Downstream Embedment: ft (≥ 20% of culvert rise and ≥ 1 foot)								
Culvert Slope - The culvert slope shall not exceed the slope of the stream through the reach in which the crossing is being placed. If embedment of the culvert is not possible, the maximum slope shall not exceed 0.5%.								
Upstream invert elevation:	ft (NGVD 29 or NAVD 88)	Downstream invert el	evation:		ft (NGVD 29 or NAVD 88)			
Summarize Proposed Culvert Physical Characterstics								
Inlet Characteristics								
Inlet Type	☐ Projecting	Headwall		☐ Wing	gwall			
	☐ Flared end section	Segment connect	ction	Skev	v Angle:			

FISH PASSAGE: HYDRAULIC DESIGN OPTION FORM 6B								
Barrel Characteristics								
Diameter:			in	Fill hei	ght above culvert:			ft
Height/Rise:			ft	Length	1:			ft
Width/Span:			ft	Numbe	er of barrels:			
Culvert Ture	☐ Arch		Во	ЭX			Circular	
Culvert Type	☐ Pipe-Arch	☐ Pipe-Arch ☐ E						
	☐ HDPE		☐ Ste	eel Plat	e Pipe		Concrete Pipe	
Culvert Material	Spiral Rib / Corruç	gated Metal Pipe						
Horizontal alignment bre	Iaks:		ft	Vertica	ıl alignment breaks:			ft
Outlet Characteristics								
	Projecting			Headwa	II		Wingwall	
Outlet Type	☐ Flared end section ☐ Segment connection Sk			Skev	v Angle:	0		
Summarize Propos	ed Bridge Physical	Characterstics						
Bridge Physical Charac								
				on of low chord:			ft	
Channel Lining			ncrete		Rock		☐ Other	
Skew Angle:			О	Bridge	width (length):			ft
Pier Characteristics (if	applicable)							
Number of Piers: ft U			Upstr	eam cro	oss-section starting statio	n:		ft
Pier Width: ft I			Downstream cross-section starting station:					ft
Pier Centerline Spacing: ft S			Skew angle:				0	
	Square nose and tail		Semi-circular nose and tail 90° triangular			90° triangular nose and tail		
Pier Shape	Twin-cylinder piers with connecting diaphragm		☐ Twin-cylinder piers without ☐ Ten pile trestle connecting diaphragm			Ten pile trestle bent		
Establish High Design	Flow for Fish Passage	- Depending on spec	ies, dev	velop hi	ah desian flows:			
Establish High Design Flow for Fish Passage - Depending on species, c Species/Life Stage Percent Annua Exceedance Flo			nnual		Percentage of 2- Recurrence Interval		Design Flows (cfs)	
Adult Anadromous Salmonids 1%					50%			

FISH PASSAGE: HYDRAULIC DESIGN OPTION FORM 6B								
Adult Non-Anadromous Salmonids	5%	30	%					
Juvenile Salmonids	10%	10%						
☐ Native Non-Salmonids	5%	30%						
☐ Non-Native Species	10%	10	%					
Establish Love Daving Electric Confish Daving	December 1	de de la companya de						
Establish Low Design Flow for Fish Passage -		v design flows:						
Species/Life Stage	Percent Annual Exceedance Flow	Alternate Minir	num Flow (cfs)	Design Flow (cfs)				
Adult Anadromous Salmonids	50%	3	3					
Adult Non-Anadromous Salmonids	90%	2	2					
☐ Juvenile Salmonids	95%	,						
☐ Native Non-Salmonids	90%	,	I					
☐ Non-Native Species	90%	,	I					
Establish Maximum Average Water Velocity ar species, select Maximum Average Water Veolcity	nd Minimum Flow Depth in Culve and Minimum Flow Depth.	rt (At high desig	n flow) - Dependi	ng on culvert length and/or				
Species/Life Stage	Maximum Average Water Vel Fish Design Flow (ft/	Minimum Flo	Flow Depth at Low Fish Design Flow (ft)					
	6 (Culvert length <60	ft)						
	5 (Culvert length 60-100 ft)							
Adult Anadromous Salmonids	4 (Culvert length 100-20	00 ft)	1.0					
	3 (Culvert length 200-30	00 ft)						
	2 (Culvert length >300	ft)						
	4 (Culvert length <60	ft)						
	4 (Culvert length 60-10	0 ft)						
Adult Non-Anadromous Salmonids	3 (Culvert length 100-200 ft)			0.67				
	2 (Culvert length 200-300 ft)							
	2 (Culvert length >300 ft)							
☐ Juvenile Salmonids	1		_	0.5				
				D 0 10				

FISH PASS	AGE	: HYDRAULIC DESIGN OPTION	FORM 6B			
☐ Native Non-Salmonids		Species specific swimming performance data is required for the use of the hydraulic design option for non-salmonids. Hydraulic design is not allowed for these species without this data.				
☐ Non-Native Species		on-salmonids. Hydraulic design is not allowed for these species without this data.				
Establish Maximum Outlet Drop						
required and a hydraulic drop is unavoidab	le, it's	e culvert to the pool below the culvert should be avoided for all cases. Where magnitude should be evaluated for both high design flow and low design flow occurs at the culvert outlet, a jump pool of at least 2 feet in depth shall be	w and shall not			
Species/Life Stage	Maxi	imum Drop (ft)				
Adult Anadromous Salmonids	1					
Adult Non-Anadromous Salmonids	1					
Juvenile Salmonids	0.5					
☐ Native Non-Salmonids		ere fish passage is required for native non-salmonids no hydraulic drop shall be allo				
☐ Non-Native Species		et unless data is presented which will establish the leaping ability and leaping beha cies of fish.	vior of the target			
Maximum Allowable Inlet Water Surface Ele	vation	1				
Culvert						
A culvert is required to pass the 10-year peak discharge without causing pressure flow in the culvert,		Allowable WSEL:				
And shall not be greater than 50% of the culver height or diameter above the top of the culvert inlet for the 100-Year peak flood.		t Allowable WSEL:				
Bridge						
A bridge is required to pass the 50-year peak discharge with freeboard, vertical clearance between the lowest structural member and the water surface elevation,		Allowable WSEL:	ft			
While passing the 100-year peak or design discharge under low chord of the bridge.	A	Allowable WSEL:	ft			
Establish Allowable Hydraulic Impacts						
Is the crossing located within a floodplain as designated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency or another responsible state or local agency? Yes No						
If yes, establish allowable hydraulic imacts and hydraulic design requirements with the appropriate agency. Attach results.						
Will the project result in the increase capacity of	of an e	existing crossing?				
If yes, will it significantly increase downstream	peak f	flows due to the reduced upstream attenuation?				
If yes, consult District Hydraulics. Further analysis may be needed.						

FISH PASSAGE: HYDRAULIC DESIGN OPTION FORM 6B									
Will the project result in a reduction in flow area for the 100-year peak discharge? ☐ Yes ☐ No									
If yes, establish the a	If yes, establish the allowable increase in upstream water surface elevation and establish how far upstream the increased water surface may extend.								
Evaluate computed	Evaluate computed water surface elevations, flow depths, and channel velocities: Yes No								
Maximum average	velocity in culvert at	high fish design flow	' :			ft/s			
Does the velocity ex	ceed the maximum allo	owable for the culvert I	ength and design spec	ies? 🗌 Yes 🔲 N	0				
If yes, modify design	to comply and rerun h	ydraulic anaylses to v	erify.						
Minimum flow depth in culvert at low fish design flow: Does the depth equal or not exceed the minimum allowable for the culvert length and design species? Yes No If yes, modify design to comply and rerun hydraulic analyses to verify.									
Drop between the water surface elevation in the culvert and the outlet channel for:									
High Fish Passage F	Flow:		ft Low Fish I	Passage Flow:		ft			
design species?	Yes No to avoid a drop if poss				exceed the maximum rovide a jump pool at le				
		0-year peak discharç							
		ne allowable?							
ir yes, modify design	to comply and rerun r	ydraulic analyses to v	епту.						
Maximum Culvert a	nd Channel velocitie	s at inlet and outlet t	ransition for the peak	or design discharg	e:				
Range of velocities for	or Inlet transition:			ft/s to		ft/s			
Range of velocities for	or Culvert portion:			ft/s to		ft/s			
Range of velocities for	or Outlet Transition:			ft/s to		ft/s			
Do the velocities exc	eed the permissible so	cour velocities? Ye	es 🗌 No						
If yes, revise design	to reduce velocities ar	d rerun hydraulic anal	yses to verify, or desig	n erosion protection.					
Comparison between	en existing and proje	ct future condition w	rater surface elevation	ns for the 10-Year ar	nd 100-Year peak flow	:			
Cross-Section	10-Yr WSEL	10-Yr WSEL	WSEL Difference	100-Year WSEL	100-Year WSEL	WSEL Difference			
	Existing	Future	(ft)	Existing	Future	(ft)			

FISH PASSAGE: HYDRAULIC DESIGN OPTION								
	Conditions (ft)	Conditions (ft)		Condi	tions (ft)	Conditions (ft)		
1								
2								
3								
4								
If WSELs increase,	does the increase exce	eed the maximum elev	ation?	No.	Maximum	elevation:	ft	
If yes, revise the des	sign and rerun hydraul	c analyses to verify.						
If WSELs decrease,	does it appear that the	e attenuation of peak f	ow will significally cha	nge? \square	Yes No)		
If yes, evaluate to de	etermine if downstrean	n hydraulic impacts are	significant and modify	/ design as	s appropriate	9.		
Proposed Plan and	l Profile Drawing Atta	iched Yes N	lo					
Hydraulic Analysis Index Sheet Attached Yes No								

APPENDIX D FORM 6C - STREAM SIMULATION DESIGN OPTION

FISH PASSAGE: STREAM SIMULATION DESIGN OPTION FORM 6C								
Project Information				Computed:	Date:			
				Checked:	Date:			
Stream Name:		County:		Route:	Postmile:			
General Considerations								
The Stream Simulation method strive extent practical. The Stream Simulation structure settings and dimensions, 3)	on process inclu	ides these four steps: 1) Develop long profile and define tl					
Hydrology Results - Peak Dis	charge Value	es						
50% Annual Probability (2-Year Flood Event)		cfs	10% Annual Probability (10-Year Flood Event)		cfs			
4% Annual Probability (25-Year Flood Event)		cfs	2% Annual Probability (50-Year Flood Event)		cfs			
1% Annual Probability (100-Year Flood Event)		cfs						
Develop Long Profile and Def	ine the Refe	rence Reach						
Attach channel profile sheet. Yes	s □ No							
Identify reference reach on long profile	e with character	istics that will be approp	oriate for the replacement culvert.	☐ Yes ☐ No				
Identify channel type and key features	s that vary deper	nding on the bed mobilit	y. 🗌 Yes 🔲 No					
Identify location of bed material sample	les on profile. [☐ Yes ☐ No						
Identify typical channel cross-sections	. Yes	No						
Identify channel characteristics and pr	ocesses on long	g profile. Yes	No					
Plot stream/culvert profile or range of	profiles for cons	ideration. Yes] No					
Illustrate the typical reference reach cross-section:								

FISH PASSAGE: STREAM SIMULATION DESIGN OPTION FORM 6C Bankfull Channel: The channel defined by the bankfull discharge, which is the discharge that fills a stable alluvial channel up to the elevation of the active floodplain. Identification of the bankfull channel should be based on the determination of the minimum channel width to depth ratio determined from cross sectional measurements of stable channel reaches upstream and downstream of the proposed culvert location. ft Bankfull channel width = **Establish Proposed Culvert Settings and Dimensions** Culvert Width: Culvert width is the width needed to span the bankfull channel. If permanent banklines are constructed of rock, adequate culvert width must be provided to span the bed plus the size of the rock on both banks. For an initial estimate of the minimum culvert width, add twice the diameter of the largest material in the bed to the bankfull width. A stability analysis might show that other bed material is needed. Culvert Width = ft Culvert Length: Culvert length must be greater than 100 feet Culvert Length = ft Culvert Embedment: A circular culvert embedded into the streambed no less than 30% but no more than 50% of its rise is a good practical guide. Upstream embedment = ft ft Downstream embedment = Culvert Slope Culvert slope does not greatly exceed slope of natural channel, slopes of 6% or less Upstream invert elevation = ft (NGVD 29 or NAVD 88) ft (NGVD 29 or NAVD 88) Downstream invert elevation = **Summarize Proposed Culvert Physical Characterstics** Inlet Characteristics Projecting ☐ Headwall ☐ Wingwall Inlet Type Flared end section Segment connection Skew Angle: **Barrel Characteristics** Diameter: Fill height above culvert: ft in ft Height/Rise: Length: Width/Span: Number of barrels: П Вох ☐ Circular Arch Culvert Type ☐ Pipe-Arch Elliptical

FISH	FISH PASSAGE: STREAM SIMULATION DESIGN OPTION FORM 6C							
Culvert Material		☐ HDPE			Steel Pla	te Pipe		Concrete Pipe
Cuivert iviaterial		Spiral Rib / Corru	ıgated Metal	l Pip	e			
Horizontal alignment breaks:				ft	Vertical alignm	nent breaks:		ft
Outlet Characteristics								
		☐ Projecting			☐ Headwall		ΙΠν	 Vingwall
Outlet Type		☐ Flared end section	on		☐ Segment	connection		Angle:
							1	
Summarize Proposed Br	idge	Physical Character	rstics					
Bridge Physical Characteristi	ics							
Elevation of high chord (top of r	road):	ft (NGVD 2	9 or NAVD 8	38)	Elevation of low chord:			ft (NGVD 29 or NAVD 88)
Channel Lining		lo lining	☐ Conc	rete		Rock		☐ Other
Pier Characteristics (if applic	able)							
Number of Piers:				ft	Upstream cros	ss-section starting statio	n:	ft
Pier Width:				ft	ft Downstream cross-section starting station:			
Pier Centerline Spacing:				ft	ft Skew angle:			
Pier Shape		Square nose and	l tail		☐ Semi-circ	cular nose and tail	<u> </u>	0° triangular nose and tail
пы эпарс		Twin-cylinder piers with connecting diaphragm			☐ Twin-cylinder piers without ☐ Ten pile trestle bent ☐			
Define Bed Material and	Shap	e						
				. ,				
Create reference grain-size dist	tributio	n curve from reference r	each materi	al. [YesNo			
Bed Stability Analysis								
1. Establish bed design t	flows			25-	Year design sto	rm, Q =		cfs
2. Determine average water depth in culvert			Culvert inlet water depth, y =			ft		
Cu			Cul	vert outlet water	depth, y =		ft	

FISH PASSAGE: STREAM SIMULATION DESIGN OPTION FORM 6						
	Average water depth, y =	ft				
	Culvert inlet velocity, V _c =	ft/s				
3. Determine average water velocity in culvert	Culvert outlet velocity, V _c =	ft/s				
	Average culvert velocity, V _c =	ft/s				
4) Solve the bed stability equation by calculating D ₅₀ usin	ng Laursen's Equation.					
Solve Laursen's equation for Culvert bed material D_{50} Where V_c is critical velocity above which bed material of size D and smaller will be transported, (ft/s), y is average depth of flow within the culvert structure, (ft), and D_{50} is particle size in a mixture of which 50 percent are smaller, (ft).	$D_{50} = (V_c / 11.17 y^{1/6})^3$	ft				
5. Is the calculated D_{50} equal to or less than the reference	e reach D_{50} ?	ss than				
If greater than or equal to, use reference bed material in culvert.						
If less than, adjust reference grain-size distribution curve to match caclula	ted D ₅₀ .					
Creek Feature Stability Analysis (ie. Rock bands, Boulder	· Clusters, Banklines)					
1. Establish bed design flows	25-Year design storm, Q =	cfs				
	Culvert inlet velocity, V _c =	ft/s				
2. Determine average water velocity in culvert	Culvert outlet velocity, V _c =	ft/s				
	Average culvert velocity, V _c =	ft/s				
3. Determine average field rock size diameter	Average field rock size diameter, D _{field} =	ft				
4. Select minimum stable diameter (D ₅₀) corresponding to average culvert velocity	Minimum stable diameter, D ₅₀ =	ft				
5. Calculated Caltrans RSP Class rough diameter	Calculated Caltrans RSP Class rough diameter, D _{rsp} =	ft				
	If minimum stable diameter is greater than average field rock size diameter, the average field rock size diameter must be increased. If minimum stable diameter is less than the average field rock size diameter, select the corresponding RSP class rough diameter.					
6. Selected Caltrans RSP Class	Selected Caltrans RSP Class =					

FISH PASSAGE: STREAM SIMULATION DESIGN OPTION FORM								
Maximum Allowable Inlet Water Surface Elevation								
Culvert								
A culvert is required to pass the 10-year peak discharge without causing pressure flow in the culvert, A culvert is required to pass the 10-year peak discharge without causing pressure flow in the culvert,								
And shall not be greater than 50% of the culvert height or diameter above the top of the culvert inlet for the 100-Year peak flood. Allowable WSEL:								
Pridge □								
Bridge		T						
A bridge is required to pass the 50-year peak dischard vertical clearance between the lowest structural members of surface elevation,		Allowable WSEL:		ft				
While passing the 100-year peak or design discharge under low chord of bridge. Allowable WSEL:								
Establish Allowable Hydraulic Impacts								
Is the crossing located within a floodplain as designated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency or another responsible state or local agency? Yes No								
If yes, establish allowable hydraulic impacts and hydraulic design requirements with the appropriate agency. Attach results.								
Will the project result in increase capacity of an existing	ng crossing? Yes !	No						
If yes, will it significantly increase downstream peak flo	ows due to the reduced up	ostream attenuation?	Yes No					
If yes, consult District Hydraulics. Further analysis ma	ay be needed.							
Will the project result in a reduction in flow area for the	e 100-year peak discharge	e?						
If yes, establish the allowable increase in upstream w	ater surface elevation and	establish how far upstrear	m the increased water surface	may extend.				
Develop and run Hydraulic Models to compute wa peak or design discharges reflecting existing and			velocities for the 2-, 10-, 50-	-, and 100-year				
Evaluate computed water surface elevations, flow	depths, and channel ve	locities. Yes No						
Water surface elevation at inlet for the 10-year pea	ak discharge:			ft				
Does the water surface elevation exceed the allowable elevation? Yes No								
If yes, modify design to comply and rerun hydraulic ar	nalyses to verfiy.							
Maximum Culvert and Channel velocities at inlet a	nd outlet transition for t	he peak or design discha	arge:					
Range of velocities for Inlet transition: ft/s to ft/s								
Range of velocities for Culvert portion:		ft/s	to	ft/s				

FISH PASSAGE: STREAM SIMULATION DESIGN OPTION FORM 6										
Range of velocities for Outlet	Transition:	Transition: ft/s to ft/s								
Do the velocities exceed the pe	ermissible scour veloci	ities? Yes N	lo							
If yes, revise design to reduce velocities and rerun hydraulic analyses to verfiy, or design erosion protection.										
Comparison between existing	g and project future	condition water sur	face elevations for	the 10-Year and 100	-Year peak flow:					
Cross-Section	10-Yr WSEL	10-Yr WSEL	Difference	100-Year WSEL	100-Year WSEL	Difference				
	Existing Conditions (ft)	Future Conditions (ft)	(ft)	Existing Conditions (ft)	Future Conditions (ft)	(ft)				
1										
2										
3										
4										
If WSELs increase, does the inc	crease exceed the ma	aximum elevation? [Yes No	Maximum elevatio	n:	ft				
If yes, revise the design and rer	run hydraulic analyses	s to verify.								
If WSELs decrease, does it app	pear that the attenuation	on of peak flow will si	ignifically change?	☐ Yes ☐ No						
If yes, evaluate to determine if of	downstream hydraulic	impacts are significa	ant and modify desig	n as appropriate.						
Proposed Profile Drawing Att	ached Yes] No								
Hydraulic Analysis Index She	eet Attached	es 🗌 No								
Bed Stability Analysis Calcul	ations Attached	Yes No			,					
Grain-Size Distribution Curve	e Attached Yes	□ No								

APPENDIX D FORM 6D - HYDRAULIC BAFFLE DESIGN OPTION

FISH PASSAGE: HYDRAULIC BAFFLE DESIGN OPTION FORM 6D							
Project Information			Computed:		Date:		
			Checked:		Date:		
Stream Name:	County:		Route:		Postmile:		
General Considerations							
Baffles shall be used in the design retrofitted	culverts or bridges in order to r	meet the hydraulic desi	gn criteria.				
Hydrology Results - Peak Discharge	e Values						
50% Annual Probability (2-Year Flood Event)	cfs	10% Annual Pr (10-Year Flood			cfs		
2% Annual Probability (50-Year Flood Event)	cfs	1% Annual Pro (100-Year Floo			cfs		
High Fish Passage Design Flow	cfs	Low Fish Passage	Design Flow		cfs		
Selecting Weir Coefficient, C							
1) Estimate highest possible weir coefficie	ent for design. 1						
Initial estimate of weir coefficient, C		ft ^{0.5} /sec					
2) Check range of head over baffle in hydr	aulic model.						
Does the Low Fish Passage Design depths ed	qual or not exceed the minimur	n allowable depth per c	lesign species?	Yes [No		
If yes, breath of crest of weir or allowable head	d is inappropriate for design. M	Modify design to comply	and re-run hyd	draulic anayls	ses to verify.		
Does the High Fish Passage Design velocities ☐ Yes ☐ No	s over the weir and through the	notch exceed the mini	mum allowable	velocities pe	r design species?		
If yes, breath of crest of weir or allowable head	d is inappropriate for design. N	Modify design to comply	and re-run hyd	draulic anayls	ses to verify.		
If no for both questions above, determine type	e of weir.						
3) Determine type of weir.							
When the thickness of the crest of a weir is mo	ore than 0.47 times the head, i	t is classified as a broa	d-crested weir.	2			
Baffle/Weir width:	Baffle/Weir width: ft Head: ft Head x 0.47 = f						
☐ Broad crested weir ☐ Sharp crested weir ☐ Other							
4) Select a more appropriate weir for partic	cular type of weir, C:						
Establish range of reasonable C coefficients in	n accordance with Hydraulic Er	ngineering Circular 22	Yes I	No			
5) Check range of head over baffle in hydr	aulic model.						

¹ Hydraulic Engineering Circular 22, *Urban Drainage Design Manual*, Chapter 8 (<u>www.fhwa.dot.gov</u>) 2 Gupta, Ram S., *Hydrology and Hydraulic Systems*, Chapter 6.

FISH PASSAGE: HYDRAULIC BAFFLE DESIGN OPTION FORM 6D								
Does the Low Fish Passage Design depths equal or not exceed the minimum allowable depth per design species? Yes No								
If yes, modify design to c	If yes, modify design to comply and rerun hydraulic analyses to verify.							
Does the High Fish Pass ☐ Yes ☐ No	age Design	velocities over the I	paffle and through th	e notch exceed the minimum a	ıllowable velocities per desig	n species?		
If yes, modify design to c	omply and r	erun hydraulic anay	lses to verify.					
Proposed Baffle Se	ttings and	d Dimensions						
Baffle height:			ft	Baffle width:		ft		
Baffle spacing (along lon axis):	gitudinal		ft	Weir coefficient:		ft ^{0.5} /sec		
Summarize Retrofit	ted Culve	rt Physical Cha	racterstics					
Inlet Characteristics - R	Retrofitted d	esign to inlet:	Yes No					
Inlot Type		☐ Projecting		Headwall	☐ Wingwall			
Inlet Type		☐ Flared end s	ection	Segment connection	Skew Angle:	0		
Barrel Characteristics -	Retrofitted	design to barrel:	☐ Yes ☐ No					
Diameter:			in	Fill height above culvert:		ft		
Height/Rise:			ft	Length:		ft		
Width/Span:			ft	Number of barrels:				
Culvert Type	☐ Arch		□В	ох	Circular			
ourvert Type	☐ Pipe-	Arch	□ E	lliptical				
Culvert Material	☐ HDPI	<u> </u>	□ S	teel Plate Pipe	Concrete Pipe			
Cuivert iviaterial	☐ Spira	I Rib / Corrugated N	Metal Pipe					
Horizontal alignment brea	aks:		ft	Vertical alignment breaks:		ft		
Outlet Characteristics -	Retrofitted	design to outlet:	☐ Yes ☐ No					
Outlet Tax	☐ Pr	ojecting		Headwall	☐ Wingwall			
Outlet Type	☐ Fla	ared end section		Segment connection	Skew Angle:	o		
Summarize Retrofit	ted Brida	e Physical Cha	racterstics					
Bridge Physical Charac				: ☐ Yes ☐ No				
Diruge Frigsical Cital ac	יובווסוורט וי	en onneu design	o bridge structure	. 162 INO				

FISH PASSAGE: HYDRAULIC BAFFLE DESIGN OPTION FORM 6D								3D
Elevation of high chord (top	of road):		ft	Elevat	ion of low chord:			ft
Channel Lining	☐ No lining	☐ Cc	oncrete		Rock		Other	
Skew Angle:	1		o	Bridge	width (length):			ft
Pier Characteristics (if ap	plicable) Retrofitted	design to piers: [Yes	. N	0			
Number of Piers:		ft	Upstr	ream cr	oss-section startin	g station:		ft
Pier Width:		ft	Dowr	nstream	cross-section sta	rting station:		ft
Pier Centerline Spacing:		ft	Skew	ı angle:				o
	☐ Square nose a	nd tail		Semi-ci	rcular nose and ta	il	90° triangular nose and tail	
Pier Shape	Twin-cylinder p connecting diaphrag		☐ Twin-cylinder piers without connecting diaphragm ☐ Ten pile trestle bent					
Establish High Design Flo	ow for Fish Passage -	- Depending on spec	ies, dev	velop hi	gh design flows:			
Species/Life Stage		Percent Ai Exceedance			Percenta Recurrence I		Design Flows (cfs)	
Adult Anadromous Sa	Imonids	1%		50%		%		
Adult Non-Anadromou	s Salmonids	5%		30%		%		
☐ Juvenile Salmonids		10%	10%					
☐ Native Non-Salmonids	i	5%		30%				
☐ Non-Native Species		10%	10%					
Establish Low Design Flo	w for Fish Passage -	Depending on speci	es, dev	elop lo	w design flows:			
Species/Life Stage		Percent Annual E Flow		lance	Alternate Minin	num Flow (cfs)	Design Flow (cfs)	
☐ Adult Anadromous Sa	Imonids	50%			3			
Adult Non-Anadromou	s Salmonids	90%			2			
☐ Juvenile Salmonids		95%			1			
☐ Native Non-Salmonids	5	90%			1			
☐ Non-Native Species	Non-Native Species 90% 1							
Establish Maximum Avera species, select Maximum A				า Culve	rt (At high desig	n flow) - Depend	ding on culvert length and/or	
Species/Life Stage		Maximum Aver Fish De				Minimum F	low Depth at Low Fish Desi Flow (ft)	gn

FISH PASSAGE:	HYDRAULIC BAFFLE DESIGN OP	TION FORM 6D					
	6 (Culvert length <60 ft) 5						
Adult Anadromous Salmonids	(Culvert length 60-100 ft) 4 (Culvert length 100-200 ft)	1.0					
	(Culvert length 200-300 ft)						
	(Culvert length >300 ft)						
	4 (Culvert length <60 ft)						
	4 (Culvert length 60-100 ft)						
Adult Non-Anadromous Salmonids	3 (Culvert length 100-200 ft)	0.67					
	2 (Culvert length 200-300 ft)						
	2 (Culvert length >300 ft)						
☐ Juvenile Salmonids	1	0.5					
□ Native Non-Salmonids□ Non-Native Species	Species specific swimming performance data is re non-salmonids. Hydraulic design is not allowed fo	quired for the use of the hydraulic design option for r these species without this data.					
Establish Maximum Outlet Drop							
required and a hydraulic drop is unavoidab	in the culvert to the pool below the culvert should be, it's magnitude should be evaluated for both high llic drop occurs at the culvert outlet, a jump pool o	n design flow and low design flow and shall not					
Species/Life Stage	Maximum Drop (ft)						
Adult Anadromous Salmonids	1						
Adult Non-Anadromous Salmonids	1						
Juvenile Salmonids	0.5						
Native Non-Salmonids	Where fish passage is required for native non-salmonids no hydraulic drop shall be allowed at the culvert outlet unless data is presented which will establish the leaping ability and leaping behavior of the target						
Non-Native Species	species of fish.						
Maximum Allowable Inlet Water Surface Ele	vation						
Culvert							
A culvert is required to pass the 10-year peak	rt is required to pass the 10-year peak Allowable WSEL:						

FISH PASSAGE: H	FISH PASSAGE: HYDRAULIC BAFFLE DESIGN OPTION FORM 6D					
discharge without causing pressure flow in the culvert,						
And shall not be greater than 50% of the culvert height or diameter above the top of the culvert inlet for the 100-Year peak flood.	Allowable WSEL:	ft				
Bridge						
A bridge is required to pass the 50-year peak discharge with freeboard, vertical clearance between the lowest structural member and the water surface elevation,	Allowable WSEL:	ft				
While passing the 100-year peak or design discharge under low chord of the bridge.	Allowable WSEL:	ft				
Establish Allowable Hydraulic Impacts						
	nated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency or another responsible st	ate or local agency?				
If yes, establish allowable hydraulic imacts and hy	draulic design requirements with the appropriate agency. Attach results.					
Will the project result in the increase capacity of a	n existing crossing?					
If yes, will it significantly increase downstream pea	ık flows due to the reduced upstream attenuation? Yes No					
If yes, consult District Hydraulics. Further analysis	s may be needed.					
Will the project result in a reduction in flow area fo	r the 100-year peak discharge?					
If yes, establish the allowable increase in upstrear	n water surface elevation and establish how far upstream the increased water su	rface may extend.				
Dovolon and run Hydraulic Models to compute water curface elevations flow deaths, and channel valentics to the levelish passage design						
Develop and run Hydraulic Models to compute water surface elevations, flow depths, and channel velocities fo the low fish passage design flow, the high fish passage design flow and for the 2-, 10-, 50-, and 100-year peak or design discharges reflecting existing and project conditions. Yes No						
Evaluate computed water surface elevations, f	ow depths, and channel velocities: Yes No					
Maximum average velocity in culvert at high fis	sh design flow:	ft/s				
Does the velocity exceed the maximum allowable for the culvert length and design species? Yes No						
If yes, modify design to comply and rerun hydraulic analyses to verify.						
Minimum flow depth in culvert at low fish design	gn flow:	ft				
	allowable for the culvert length and design species? Yes No					
If yes, modify design to comply and rerun hydrauli						
Drop between the water surface elevation in th	e culvert and the outlet channel for:					

	FISH PASSAC	E: HYDRAUL	IC BAFFLE DE	SIGN OPTION		FORM 6D
High Fish Passage	Flow:		ft Low Fish	Passage Flow:		ft
Does the drop betw design species?	reen the water surface i Yes No	n the culvert and the o	outlet channel at high o	r low design fish flow	s exceed the maximum	allowable for the
If yes, modify design Rerun hydraulic and	n to avoid a drop if poss alyses to verfiy.	sible. If a drop is unav	voidable modify design	to meet criteria and p	provide a jump pool at le	east two feet in depth.
Water Surface elev	vation at inlet for the 1	0-year peak dischar	ge:			ft
Does the water surf	ace elevation exceed th	ne allowable? 🔲 Ye	s No			
If yes, modify design	n to comply and rerun h	nydraulic analyses to v	/erify.			
Maximum Culvert	and Channel velocitie	s at inlet and outlet	transition for the peak	k or design discharg	je:	
Range of velocities	for Inlet transition:			ft/s to		ft/s
Range of velocities	for Culvert portion:			ft/s to		ft/s
Range of velocities	for Outlet Transition:			ft/s to		ft/s
Do the velocities ex	ceed the permissible so	cour velocities? \(\simeq \)	es No			
If yes, revise design	n to reduce velocities ar	nd rerun hydraulic ana	lyses to verify, or desig	n erosion protection.		
Comparison between	een existing and proje	ct future condition v	vater surface elevatio	ns for the 10-Year a	nd 100-Year peak flow	<i>I</i> :
Cross-Section	10-Yr WSEL	10-Yr WSEL	WSEL Difference	100-Year WSEL	100-Year WSEL	WSEL Difference
	Existing Conditions (ft)	Future Conditions (ft)	(ft)	Existing Conditions (ft)	Future Conditions (ft)	(ft)
1						
2						
3						
4						
If WSELs increase,	does the increase exce	eed the maximum elev	vation? Yes N	No Maximun	n elevation:	ft
If yes, revise the de	sign and rerun hydrauli	c analyses to verify.		 		
If WSELs decrease	, does it appear that the	e attenuation of peak f	low will significally char	nge? ☐ Yes ☐ N	lo	
	letermine if downstream			<u> </u>		
Proposed Plan and	d Profile Drawing Atta	ched Yes N	No .			
Hydraulic Analysis	s Index Sheet Attache	d □ Yes □ No				

APPENDIX D FORM 6E - HYDRAULIC ROCK WEIR DESIGN OPTION

FISH PASSAGE: HYDRAULIC ROCK	WEIR DESIGN OPTION	FORM 6E
Project Information	Computed:	Date:
	Checked:	Date:
Stream Name: County:	Route:	Postmile:
	<u> </u>	
General Considerations		
Rock weirs shall be used in the design of retrofitted culverts in order to mee	t the hydraulic design criteria.	
Hydrology Results - Peak Discharge Values	100/ Americal Death (199)	
50% Annual Probability (2-Year Flood Event)	10% Annual Probability (10-Year Flood Event)	cfs
2% Annual Probability (50-Year Flood Event)	1% Annual Probability (100-Year Flood Event)	cfs
High Fish Passage Design Flow cfs	Low Fish Passage Design Flow	cfs
Determine Rock Weir Dimensions		
Rock size (RSP class):	Embedment depth:	ft
Crest width: ft	Height:	ft
Side slope:	Base width:	ft
Spacing: ft		
RSP FABRIC (TYP)	SEE FIG. N-3 ROCK WEIR ROCK WEIR	/ ELOW
Determine Step-Pool Layers and Thickness		
Tsp:	ft	
Rock weir backfill thickness (1/2 Tsp):	ft	

FISH PASSAGE: HYDRAULIC ROCK WEIR DESIGN OPTION FORM 6E						
Native bed: ☐ Yes ☐ No	Thickness (if applicable):	ft				
Clean sand and gravel: Yes N	Thickness (if applicable):	ft				
ROCK WEIR	STEP-POOL LONG. LIMITS NATIVE BED OR CLEAN SAND & GRAVEL ROCK WEIR BACKFILL V2 TSP VERTICAL STEP VERTICAL STEP TSP = STEP-POOL THICKNESS Step Pool Profile	ROCK WEIR				
Design Bank Revetment	·					
RSP revetment: Yes No						
Combined RSP and vegetative revetme	nt: Yes No					
If yes, contact District Hydraulics Engine	eer and District Landscape Architect to coordinate design.					
Parallel flow: Yes No						
Impinging flow: Yes No						
If yes, apply 1.33 factor to average stream	am velocity.					
Bank slope ($lpha$):	•					
50-year average stream velocity:	ft/s					
Design velocity:	ft					
50-year flow depth:	ft					
Field contributing features (i.e. high wat	er marks):					
Freeboard:	ft					

FISH PASSAGE: HYDRAULIC ROO	CK WEIR DESIGN OPTION	FORM 6E			
Design height:	ft				
RSP class:					
RSP thickness:	ft				
ORIGINAL GROUND RSP FABRIC (TYP) RSP OR RSP & VEGETATION LOW-FLOW (BANK STABILIZATION) CHANNEL LOL TSP- STEP-POOL Step Pool	NATIVE BED OR CLEAN SAND & GRAVEL ROCK WEIR BACKFILL CHANNEL SG RSP BACKING NO. 1 METHOD B (FILTER LAVER)				
Selecting Weir Coefficient, C					
Estimate highest possible weir coefficient for broad crested wei	ir design. ¹				
Initial estimate of broad crested weir coefficient, C	ft ^{0.5} /sec				
2) Check range of head over baffle in hydraulic model.					
Does the Low Fish Passage Design depths equal or not exceed the min	nimum allowable depth per design species?	0			
If yes, breath of crest of weir or allowable head is inappropriate for design	gn. Modify design to comply and re-run hydraulic anaylses to	o verify.			
Does the High Fish Passage Design velocities over the weir and through Yes No	h the notch exceed the minimum allowable velocities per de	sign species?			
If yes, breath of crest of weir or allowable head is inappropriate for design	gn. Modify design to comply and re-run hydraulic anaylses to	o verify.			
If no for both questions above, select a more appropriate broad-crested weir coefficient, C.					
3) Select a more appropriate broad-crested weir coefficient, C:					
Establish range of reasonable C coefficients in accordance with Hydraulic Engineering Circular 22, Urban Drainage Design Manual Yes No					
4) Check range of head over baffle in hydraulic model.					
Does the Low Fish Passage Design depths equal or not exceed the min	imum allowable depth per design species?	0			
If yes, modify design to comply and rerun hydraulic analyses to verify.					

¹ Hydraulic Engineering Circular 22, *Urban Drainage Design Manual*, Chapter 8 (www.fhwa.dot.gov)

FISH	H PASS	AGE: HYDRAULIC R	OCK '	WEIR DESIGN OPT	ON	FORM 6E	
Does the High Fish Passage Design velocities over the baffle and through the notch exceed the minimum allowable velocities per design species? Yes No							
If yes, modify design to o	comply and r	erun hydraulic anaylses to verif	y.				
Modeled broad-crested v	weir coefficie	nt:				ft ^{0.5} /sec	
Determine Rock We	eir Low-Fl	ow Notch/Channel Dime	nsions	i .			
Base Width:			ft	Top Width:		ft	
Depth:			ft				
		<u> </u>	W-FLOW LOW-FLO	BASE WIDTH OW TOP WIDTH tch / Channel	BED MATERIAL OR ROCK WEIR SLOPE Wingwall		
Inlet Type		☐ Flared end section		☐ Segment connection	☐ Skew Angle	<u>)</u> : •	
Barrel Characteristics	- Retrofitted	design to barrel: Yes [No				
Diameter:			in	Fill height above culvert:		ft	
Height/Rise:			ft	Length:		ft	
Width/Span:			ft	Number of barrels:			
Culvert Type	☐ Arch	Arch	□ Bo□ Eo	ox Iliptical	Circular		
Culvert Material	☐ HDP	E I Rib / Corrugated Metal Pipe	☐ Si	teel Plate Pipe	Concrete Pipe		
Horizontal alignment bre	aks:		ft	Vertical alignment breaks:		ft	

FISH I	PASSAGE: HY	DRAULIC RO	CK WEIR	DESIGN OPTION		FORM 6	E
Outlet Characteristics - R	etrofitted design to c	outlet: Yes] No				
Outlet Tune	☐ Projecting		☐ Headwa	all	☐ Wingwa	all	
Outlet Type	☐ Flared end sec	ction	☐ Segmei	nt connection	Skew Angle:	:	o
Summarize Retrofitte	d Bridge Physica	I Characterstics					
Bridge Physical Characte	ristics Retrofitted d	esign to bridge stru	ucture: 🔲 \	′es □ No			
Elevation of high chord (top	of road):		ft Elevat	ion of low chord:			ft
Channel Lining	☐ No lining	Co	oncrete	Rock		Other	
Skew Angle:			° Bridge	e width (length):			ft
Pier Characteristics (if ap	plicable) Retrofitted	design to piers:	☐ Yes ☐ N	0			
Number of Piers:		ft	Upstream cr	oss-section starting station:			ft
Pier Width:		ft	Downstream	n cross-section starting stati	on:		ft
Pier Centerline Spacing:		ft	Skew angle:				o
	☐ Square nose a	nd tail	☐ Semi-ci	ircular nose and tail	90° tria	angular nose and tail	
Pier Shape	Twin-cylinder p					e trestle bent	
Establish High Design Flo	ow for Fish Passage	- Depending on spec	ties, develop h	iah desian flows:			
Species/Life Stage		Percent A	nnual	Percentage of 2-Yi		Design Flows (cfs)	
Adult Anadromous Sal	monids	1%		50%			
Adult Non-Anadromou	s Salmonids	5%		30%			
☐ Juvenile Salmonids		10%		10%			
☐ Native Non-Salmonids		5%		30%			
□ Non-Native Species 10% 10%							
Establish Low Design Flo	w for Fish Passage -	Depending on speci	ies, develop lo	w design flows:			
Species/Life Stage		Percent Annual I Flow		Alternate Minimum Flov	v (cfs)	Design Flow (cfs)	
Adult Anadromous Sal	monids	50%		3			
Adult Non-Anadromou	s Salmonids	90%		2			
☐ Juvenile Salmonids		95%		1			

FISH PASSAGE: HYDRAULIC ROCK WEIR DESIGN OPTION FORM 6E							
☐ Native Non-Salmonids	90%	1					
Non-Native Species	90%	1					
Establish Maximum Average Water Velocity and Minimum Flow Depth in Culvert (At high design flow) - Depending on culvert length and/or species, select Maximum Average Water Veolcity and Minimum Flow Depth.							
Species/Life Stage	Maximum Average Water Velo Fish Design Flow (ft/s	num Flow Depth at Low Fish Design Flow (ft)					
	6 (Culvert length <60 ft)					
	5 (Culvert length 60-100	ft)					
Adult Anadromous Salmonids	4 (Culvert length 100-200	ft)	1.0				
	3 (Culvert length 200-300	ft)					
	2 (Culvert length >300 f	t)					
	4 (Culvert length <60 ft)					
	4 (Culvert length 60-100	ft)					
Adult Non-Anadromous Salmonids	(Culvert length 100-200	ft)	0.67				
	(Culvert length 200-300						
	2 (Culvert length >300 f	t)					
☐ Juvenile Salmonids	1		0.5				
☐ Native Non-Salmonids			he use of the hydraulic design option for				
☐ Non-Native Species	non-salmonids. Hydraulic design is not allowed for these species without this data.						
Establish Maximum Outlet Drop							
Hydraulic drops between the water surface required and a hydraulic drop is unavoidab exceed the values shown below. If a hydraulic drop is unavoidable exceed the values shown below.	le, it's magnitude should be evaluate	d for both high design flo	ow and low design flow and shall not				
Species/Life Stage	Maximum Drop (ft)						
Adult Anadromous Salmonids	1						
Adult Non-Anadromous Salmonids	1						
Juvenile Salmonids	0.5						

FISH PASSAGE: HYDRAULIC ROCK WEIR DESIGN OPTION FORM 6E						
☐ Native Non-Salmonids	Where fish passage is required for native non-salmonids no hydraulic drop shall be allowed at the culv					
☐ Non-Native Species	outlet unless data is presented which will establish the leaping ability and leaping behavior of the target species of fish.					
Maximum Allowable Inlet Water Surface Elev	vation					
Culvert						
A culvert is required to pass the 10-year peak discharge without causing pressure flow in the culvert,	Allowable WSEL: ft					
And shall not be greater than 50% of the culvert height or diameter above the top of the culvert inlet for the 100-Year peak flood. Allowable WSEL:						
Pridge						
Bridge						
A bridge is required to pass the 50-year peak discharge with freeboard, vertical clearance between the lowest structural member and the water surface elevation,	Allowable WSEL: ft					
While passing the 100-year peak or design discharge under low chord of the bridge.	Allowable WSEL: ft					
Establish Allowable Hydraulic Impacts						
Is the crossing located within a floodplain as de	signated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency or another responsible state or local agency?					
If yes, establish allowable hydraulic imacts and hydraulic design requirements with the appropriate agency. Attach results.						
Will the project result in the increase capacity o	f an existing crossing?					
If yes, will it significantly increase downstream p	peak flows due to the reduced upstream attenuation?					
If yes, consult District Hydraulics. Further analy	rsis may be needed.					
Will the project result in a reduction in flow area	for the 100-year peak discharge?					
If yes, establish the allowable increase in upstre	eam water surface elevation and establish how far upstream the increased water surface may extend.					
Develop and run Hydraulic Models to compute water surface elevations, flow depths, and channel velocities fo the low fish passage design flow, the high fish passage design flow and for the 2-, 10-, 50-, and 100-year peak or design discharges reflecting existing and project conditions. Yes No						
Evaluate computed water surface elevations	Evaluate computed water surface elevations, flow depths, and channel velocities: Yes No					
Maximum average velocity in structure at hi	gh fish design flow: ft/s					
Does the velocity exceed the maximum allowab	le for the structure length and design species?					

FISH PASSAGE: HYDRAULIC ROCK WEIR DESIGN OPTION FORM 6E								
If yes, modify design	If yes, modify design to comply and rerun hydraulic analyses to verify.							
Minimum flow dept	Minimum flow depth in structure at low fish design flow: ft							
Does the depth equa	al or not exceed the mi	mimum allowable for t	he structur	e length and	d design sp	pecies?	Yes No	
If yes, modify design	to comply and rerun h	ydraulic anaylses to v	erify.					
Drop between the v	water surface elevation	n in the structure an	d the outle	et channel	for:			
High Fish Passage F	Flow:		ft	Low Fish	Passage F	low:		ft
Does the drop betwee design species?	een the water surface i	n the structure and the	outlet cha	nnel at high	or low des	sign fish flov	vs exceed the maximul	m allowable for the
If yes, modify design Rerun hydraulic ana	to avoid a drop if poss lyses to verfiy.	sible. If a drop is unav	oidable mo	odify design	to meet cr	iteria and pr	ovide a jump pool at le	ast two feet in depth.
Water Surface elev	ation at inlet for the 1	0-year peak discharç	ge:					ft
Does the water surfa	ace elevation exceed th	e allowable? 🔲 Yes	s No					
If yes, modify design	to comply and rerun h	ydraulic analyses to v	erify.					
Maximum Structure	e and Channel veloci	ies at inlet and outle	t transitio	n for the pe	ak or des	ign dischar	ge:	
Range of velocities f	or Inlet transition:				ft/	s to		ft/s
Range of velocities f	or structure portion:				ft/	s to		ft/s
Range of velocities f	for Outlet Transition:				ft/	s to		ft/s
Do the velocities exc	ceed the permissible so	our velocities?	es 🗌 No					
If yes, revise design	to reduce velocities ar	d rerun hydraulic anal	yses to ver	rify, or desig	n erosion	protection.		
Comparison betwe	en existing and proje	ct future condition w	ater surfa	ce elevatio	ns for the	10-Year an	d 100-Year peak flow	:
Cross-Section	10-Yr WSEL	10-Yr WSEL	WSEL D	ifference	100-Ye	ar WSEL	100-Year WSEL	WSEL Difference
	Existing Conditions (ft)	Future Conditions (ft)	(ft)		sting tions (ft)	Future Conditions (ft)	(ft)
1								
2								
3								
4								
If WSELs increase, o	If WSELs increase, does the increase exceed the maximum elevation?							
If yes, revise the des	sign and rerun hydrauli	c analyses to verify.						
If WSELs decrease,	If WSELs decrease, does it appear that the attenuation of peak flow will significally change? Yes No							

FISH PASSAGE: HYDRAULIC ROCK WEIR DESIGN OPTION	FORM 6E
If yes, evaluate to determine if downstream hydraulic impacts are significant and modify design as appropriate.	
Proposed Plan and Profile Drawing Attached Yes No	
Hydraulic Analysis Index Sheet Attached Yes No	